right. So the unjust e one who is caught tice is to have a repranted perfection in a withat, while comegreatest reputation he must be a suffiguille public; he must be ourage, his strength, self.

t put beside him the it, does not wish to for a reputation for d then not be clear ewards and honour. the complete oppogrammer reputation weakening under ill cay until death with it is, so that our two ce, and let them be

ertain view (that he in of justice. What is on is reporting what in action just or

SNOLL

claim about human hy or why not? of a perfectly unjust Haucon making with

n is distinguished defended in Plato's

Marke Timmons and
Pavid shoemaker (ed),
35 - Knowledge,
and Norms-

## A Defense of Ethical Relativism

RUTH BENEDICT

wrote Patterns of Culture (1935), an important work in comparative distinctions as good and bad, and right and wrong. She suggests that phrases determined. She argues for a similar point in connection with such moral peoples supports the idea that what is and is not behaviorally normal is culturally anthropology. Benedict argues that careful study of the cultural practices of different Ruth Benedict (1887-1948) was a pioneering American anthropologist and like "it is morally good" should be understood as being synonymous with "it is

the particular forms that have gained currency, and we need to turn to a wider surbelief over a couple of continents has given a false sense of the inevitability of of localized social forms. In the higher cultures the standardization of custom and peoples has there been sufficient isolation to give opportunity for the development icant for psychological and sociological study because only among these simpler standardized worldwide civilization. Dyaks and Hopis, Fijians and Yakuts are signifples fortunately provide a laboratory not yet entirely vitiated by the spread of a of these in human behavior. For such a study of diverse social orders primitive peovarious historical reasons, and certainly not for any that gives us as its carriers a monopoly of social good or of social sanity. Modern civilization, from this point of view, becomes not a necessary pinnacle of human achievement but one entry one which, out of our experience, we identify with human nature, but this was for familiar customs. Most of the simpler cultures did not gain the wide currency of the vey in order to check the conclusions we hastily base upon this near-universality of in a long series of possible adjustments. odern social anthropology has become more and more a study of the varieties and common elements of cultural environment and the consequences

anger, or joy, or grief in any society, or in major human drives like those of sex, wide limits of variability are well known and can be fairly described. In others it is prove to be far more variable than experience in any one culture would suggest. In certain fields, such as that of religion or of formal marriage arrangements, these These adjustments, whether they are in mannerisms like the ways of showing

SOURCE: From Ruth Benedict. "Anthropology and the Abnormal," *Journal of General Psychology* 10 (1934): 59–82. Reprinted by permission of Helen Dwight Reid Educational Foundation. Published by Heldref Publications, Washington, DC.

task of indicating the significance of the work that has been done and of the probnot yet possible to give a generalized account, but that does not absolve us of the lems that have arisen.

turally determined, or in how far can we with assurance regard them as absolute? or in how far is it necessary to regard this as a function of the culture? categories and our conclusions regarding them. In how far are such categories cul-In how far can we regard inability to function socially as diagnostic of abnormality One of these problems relates to the customary modern normal-abnormal

honor, and apparently without danger or difficulty to the society. well-described cultures in which these abnormals function at ease and with character traits like sadism or delusions of grandeur or of persecution, there are those which indicate extreme instability, or those which are more in the nature of cultures. It does not matter what kind of "abnonnality" we choose for illustration widely varying cultures is the ease with which our abnormals function in other As a matter of fact, one of the most striking facts that emerges from a study of

the experience, to realize how important a role it may play and how many indisainthood. It is hard for us, born and brought up in a culture that makes no use of ground in that period when Catholicism made the ecstatic experience the mark of highly valued and gifted individuals. This was true even in our own cultural backmanifestations not only as normal and desirable, but even as characteristic of The most notorious of these is trance and catalepsy. Even a very mild mystic is aberrant in our culture. But most peoples have regarded even extreme psychic viduals are capable of it, once it has been given an honorable place in any

are obviously local and cultural. Homosexuals in many societies are not incompetify the consequences of this conflict with homosexuality. But these consequences to all the conflicts to which all aberrants are always exposed, and we tend to idenlem very simply. A tendency toward this trait in our culture exposes an individual tion is not constantly diverted, as in the consideration of trance, to the ferent societies. Homosexuality is an excellent example, for in this case our attencultures. Many of our culturally discarded traits are selected for elaboration in diffact that those whom we regard as abnormals may function adequately in other vincing statement of such a reading of homosexuality. It is presented as one of strain any man's vitality. Wherever homosexuality has been given an honorable tent, but they may be such if the culture asks adjustments of them that would interruption of routine activity which it implies. Homosexuality poses the probthat time. the major means to the good life, and it was generally so regarded in Greece at orable roles society assigns to them. Plato's Republic is, of course, the most conplace in any society, those to whom it is congenial have filled adequately the hon-Cataleptic and trance phenomena are, of course, only one illustration of the

men-women were men who at puberty or thereafter took the dress and the occuthere exists the institution of the berdache, as the French called them. These ethical plane, but it has been very varied. Among many American Indian tribes who chose this role to avoid the jeers of the women. The berdaches were never Sometimes they were men with no inversion, persons of weak sexual endowment pations of women. Sometimes they married other men and lived with them. The cultural attitude toward homosexuals has not always been on such a high

regarded as of first-rate superbut rather as leaders in wor among certain tribes, as the were socially placed. They want who is excluded from I

culturally defined are thos cornerstone of their social border of paranoia. In this by Fortune describes a sox sibilities in a short discussed group which remains for prime manipulators of ble ing magic to induce into h upon a good garden crop a no secrecy in the island is s poison me, how shall I rej ing of his yams. Their poli and distrust that pervade culture area are quite alte Even the great affinal ecor ing is constant; no woma and ceremonials simply d world outside their own question. For some mon one else's food is deadly ! enforced customs that for starvation, but if one fall a matter of course, divor an outcast and a beacher The most spectacular

Now in this society share with another, Fort fellows as crazy. He was himself and frothing at il Such behavior they did not even put the individ any kind of control. The kept out of the way. "He of sunny, kindly disposi pulsion was too strong of his culture. Men and simple and deficure that has, in Christipleasant fellow....

of disease, or by the hawiped out by the death mourn was proof that

t absolve us of the e and of the prob-

normal-abnormal ach categories culthem as absolute?

tes from a study of function in other ose for illustration, re in the nature of ecution, there are at ease and with my.

extreme psychic characteristic of wn cultural backience the mark of t makes no use of how many indible place in any

illustration of the them that would are not incompeese consequences oses an individual us case our attenequately in other laboration in difded in Greece at sented as one of e, the most conquately the honen an honorable we tend to idenposes the probtrance, to the

n on such a high can Indian tribes ed them. These ess and the occuved with them. vual endowment ches were never

ant who is excluded from participation in the recognized pattern of his society. among certain tribes, as the genial organizers of social affairs. In any case, they but rather as leaders in women's occupations, good healers of certain diseases, or, regarded as of first-rate supernatural power, as similar men-women were in Sibena were socially placed. They were not left exposed to the conflicts that visit the devi-

cornerstone of their social structure. It is not possible to do justice to these posand ceremonials simply do not occur here. They have even rigorous religiously ing magic to induce into his garden the productiveness of his neighbors'; therefore prime manipulators of black magic, so that one marries always into an enemy by Fortune describes a society built upon traits which we regard as beyond the sibilities in a short discussion. A recent study of an island of northwest Melanesia culturally defined are those cultures where an abnormality of our culture is the a matter of course, divorce and the breaking of all social ties. starvation, but if one falls to the temptation and eats up one's seed yams, question. For some months before harvest the whole society is on the verge of one else's food is deadly poison to you, so that communality of stores is out of the world outside their own quarters with such malignant spirits that all-night feasts culture area are quite altered in Dobu since they are incompatible with this fear ing of his yams. Their polite phrase at the acceptance of a gift is, "And if you now no secrecy in the island is so rigidly insisted upon as the secrecy of a man's harvestupon a good garden crop as a confession of theft, for everyone is engaged in makgroup which remains for life one's deadly and unappeasable foes. They look border of paranoia. In this tribe the exogamic groups look upon each other as an outcast and a beachcomber for life. There is no coming back. It involves, as enforced customs that forbid the sharing of seed even in one family group. Anyand distrust that pervades the culture. They go farther and people the whole Even the great affinal economic exchanges that are characteristic of this Melanesian ing is constant; no woman ever leaves her cooking pot for a moment untended poison me, how shall I repay you this present?" Their preoccupation with poison-The most spectacular illustrations of the extent to which normality may be

share with another, Fortune describes the individual who was regarded by all his pleasant fellow. ture that has, in Christianity, made his type the model of all virtue, he seemed a silly and simple and definitely crazy. Nevertheless, to the ethnologist used to a culof his culture. Men and women never spoke of him without laughing; he was pulsion was too strong for him to repress it in favor of the opposite tendencies of sunny, kindly disposition who liked work and liked to be helpful. The comkept out of the way. "He would be all right tomorrow." But there was one man any kind of control. They merely fled when they saw the attack coming on and not even put the individuals who were known to be liable to these attacks under Such behavior they did not regard as putting anyone outside the pale. They did himself and frothing at the mouth, fell with a knife upon anyone he could reach fellows as crazy. He was not one of those who periodically ran amok and, beside Now in this society where no one may work with another and no one may

والعصرة والأرقيق لأووجه بفط مرواطيسات الروا

mourn was proof that one had been put upon. A chief's sister and her daughter of disease, or by the hand of an enemy, in either case death was an affront to be wiped out by the death of another person. The fact that one had been caused to ... Among the Kwakiutl it did not matter whether a relative had died in bed

es not absolve us of the and of the prob-

dern normal-abnormal are such categories culegard them as absolute? agnostic of abnormality f the culture? merges from a study of

mals function in other choose for illustration, a more in the nature of persecution, there are tion at ease and with society.

even extreme psychic en as characteristic of sur own cultural back-experience the mark of that makes no use of and how many indinorable place in any

ties are not incompeut these consequences uality poses the probegarded in Greece at s presented as one of i adequately the honof them that would n adequately in other ourse, the most conone illustration of the exposes an individual in this case our attenfor elaboration in difand we tend to idengiven an honorable trance, to the

been on such a high nerican Indian tribes called them. These e dress and the occund lived with them. k sexual endowment erdaches were never

> ant who is excluded from participation in the recognized pattern of his society. were socially placed. They were not left exposed to the conflicts that visit the deviamong certain tribes, as the genial organizers of social affairs. In any case, they but rather as leaders in women's occupations, good healers of certain diseases, or, regarded as of first-rate supernatural power, as similar men-women were in Siberia

ing magic to induce into his garden the productiveness of his neighbors'; therefore sibilities in a short discussion. A recent study of an island of northwest Melanesia cornerstone of their social structure. It is not possible to do justice to these posand ceremonials simply do not occur here. They have even rigorous religiously world outside their own quarters with such malignant spirits that all-night feasts and distrust that pervades the culture. They go farther and people the whole ing of his yams. Their polite phrase at the acceptance of a gift is, "And if you now no secrecy in the island is so rigidly insisted upon as the secrecy of a man's harvestupon a good garden crop as a confession of theft, for everyone is engaged in makgroup which remains for life one's deadly and unappeasable foes. They look prime manipulators of black magic, so that one marries always into an enemy border of paranoia. In this tribe the exogamic groups look upon each other as by Fortune describes a society built upon traits which we regard as beyond the culturally defined are those cultures where an abnormality of our culture is the a matter of course, divorce and the breaking of all social ties. an outcast and a beachcomber for life. There is no coming back. It involves, as starvation, but if one falls to the temptation and eats up one's seed yams, one is question. For some months before harvest the whole society is on the verge of one else's food is deadly poison to you, so that communality of stores is out of the enforced customs that forbid the sharing of seed even in one family group. Anyculture area are quite altered in Dobu since they are incompatible with this fear Even the great affinal economic exchanges that are characteristic of this Melanesian ing is constant; no woman ever leaves her cooking pot for a moment untended poison me, how shall I repay you this present?" Their preoccupation with poison-The most spectacular illustrations of the extent to which normality may be

silly and simple and definitely crazy. Nevertheless, to the ethnologist used to a culany kind of control. They merely fled when they saw the attack coming on and not even put the individuals who were known to be liable to these attacks under Such behavior they did not regard as putting anyone outside the pale. They did share with another, Fortune describes the individual who was regarded by all his pleasant fellow... ture that has, in Christianity, made his type the model of all virtue, he seemed a of his culture. Men and women never spoke of him without laughing; he was pulsion was too strong for him to repress it in favor of the opposite tendencies of sunny, kindly disposition who liked work and liked to be helpful. The comkept out of the way. "He would be all right tomorrow." But there was one man himself and frothing at the mouth, fell with a knife upon anyone he could reach. fellows as crazy. He was not one of those who periodically ran amok and, beside Now in this society where no one may work with another and no one may

mourn was proof that one had been put upon. A chief's sister and her daughter wiped out by the death of another person. The fact that one had been caused to of disease, or by the hand of an enemy, in either case death was an affront to be ... Among the Kwakiutl it did not matter whether a relative had died in bed

the injury, and gathered a war party. They set out, and found seven men and two spokesman answered, of course, "Not you, Chief. Let some other of the tribes." "Now I ask you, tribes, who shall wail? Shall I do it or shall another?" The their boat capsized they never came back. The chief called together his warriors, had gone up to Victoria, and either because they drank bad whiskey or because in the evening children asleep and killed them. "Then they felt good when they arrived at Sebaa Immediately they set up the war pole to announce their intention of wiping out

minority can register in their own culture only by doing violence to their congeinstance, who, like a Plains Indian whose wife has been taken from him, is too nial responses and acquiring others that are difficult for them. The person, for stances is congenial, and those to whom it is repugnant are unlucky. This latter recognized and approved mood under the circumstances. On the Northwest definitely abnormal. There would be some, even in our society, but it is not a occasion would feel good when they arrived at Sebaa that evening would be the their instance of abnormality. its strongest bents. If he cannot achieve it, he is the deviant in that culture proud to fight, can deal with the Northwest Coast civilization only by ignoring Coast those are favored and fortunate to whom that mood under those circum-The point which is of interest to us is that in our society those who on that

ing wherever his fancy dictates, and he says to his host, "My prince has died today, and you go with him." Then he kills him. In this, according to their interpretaman's pride and can only be handled in the category of insults. bereavement. Death, like all the other untoward accidents of existence, confounds tion, he acts nobly because he has not been downed. He has thrust back in return. death of the person who is being mourned. A chief whose son has died goes visitthe subsequent killing with any responsibility on the part of the victim for the matter of blood revenge or of organized vengeance. There is no effort to tie up The whole procedure is meaningless without the fundamental paranoid reading of This head-hunting that takes place on the Northwest Coast after a death is no

other is to make it an essential attribute of ideal man, and this is the solution in and reprehensible, and is the attitude we have chosen in our civilization. The in our society. It is encouraged by some of our major preoccupations, and it conwhich we may discuss it. The megalomaniac paranoid trend is a definite danger our own culture to be intelligible to us and to have a definite vocabulary with abnormal in our civilization, and yet it is sufficiently close to the attitudes of the culture of the Northwest Coast. fronts us with a choice of two possible attitudes. One is to brand it as abnormal Behavior honored upon the Northwest Coast is one which is recognized as

manner, force upon us the fact that normality is culturally defined. An adult shaped ever, he is the pillar of society, the end result of socially inculcated mores, and with the psychic dilemmas of the socially unavailable. In his own culture, howto the drives and standards of either of these cultures, if he were transported into the problem of personal instability in his case simply does not arise. our civilization, would fall into our categories of abnormality. He would be faced These illustrations, which it has been possible to indicate only in the briefest

of human behavior. Just as there are great numbers of possible phonetic No one civilization can possibly utilize in its mores the whole potential range

articulations, and the possibility of thes all, so the possibility of dress and houses to the ilar selection among the nomic obligations or so a process as it is in the group for long period accidents of isolation of chology, the selection within the great circuit

Every society, bey another, carries its premore completely uponthat are uncongenial. In most incontrovertibly very foundations of the our normal individual aberrant. Normality, it is primarily a term for any culture; and abnotion does not use. The by the long traditional tries a boint that I

It is a point that I tion to psychiatry. Wing of our locality and nature. We do not elemorality differs in evhabits. Mankind has "It is habitual," and science of ethics. Bu The concept of t

the limits of expecte ferent peoples is esse that different societ divorced from a con

Each culture is the segment it has clent within itself, it its initial impulse to any other culture it aberrant traits.

Each of these troof that culture, is formial either congenit that culture, and are their traits would care

chiskey or because either his warriors, all another?" The her of the tribes." ion of wiping out even men and two yearrived at Sebaa

hose who on that ing would be the ty, but it is not a in the Northwest der those circumulucky. This latter ce to their congeto their congenomly by ignoring in that culture,

after a death is no to effort to tie up he victim for the as died goes visitce has died today, their interpretant back in return. Iranoid reading of tence, confounds

the attitudes of vocabulary with a definite danger tions, and it cond it as abnormal civilization. The

An adult shaped transported into a would be faced in culture, howated mores, and ise.

e potential range ossible phonetic

> accidents of isolation or of contact of peoples. In any comprehensive study of psygroup for long periods of time and is historically conditioned by innumerable a process as it is in the field of phonetics. It is a process which goes on in the nomic obligations or sex tabus this selection is as nonrational and subconscious ilar selection among the possible behavior traits. In the field of recognized ecodress and houses to the dicta of a people's ethics and religion, depends upon a simall, so the possibility of organized behavior of every sort, from the fashions of local ization of a few of these in order that speech communication may be possible as articulations, and the possibility of language depends on a selection and standard within the great circumference of potential behavior is of great significance. chology, the selection that different cultures have made in the course of history

aberrant. Normality, in short, within a very wide range, is culturally defined. It very foundations of their institutional life. Conversely the most valued traits of most incontrovertibly abnormal have been used by different civilizations in the that are uncongenial. Most of those organizations of personality that seem to us more completely upon its chosen basis, and discarding those types of behavior another, carries its preference farther and farther, integrating itself more and by the long traditional habits of our own society. any culture; and abnormality, a term for the segment that that particular civilizais primarily a term for the socially elaborated segment of human behavior in our normal individuals have been looked on in differently organized cultures as tion does not use. The very eyes with which we see the problem are conditioned Every society, beginning with some slight inclination in one direction or

science of ethics. But historically the two phrases are synonymous. morality differs in every society, and is a convenient term for socially approved nature. We do not elevate it to the dignity of a first principle. We recognize that ity of our locality and decade directly from the inevitable constitution of human tion to psychiatry. We do not any longer make the mistake of deriving the moral-"It is habitual," and the fact of this preference is matter enough for a critical habits. Mankind has always preferred to say, "It is morally good," rather than It is a point that has been made more often in relation to ethics than in rela-

divorced from a consideration of culturally institutionalized types of behavior. that different societies have created for themselves, and can never be wholly ferent peoples is essentially a function of the variability of the behavior patterns the limits of expected behavior for a particular society. Its variability among difis that which society has approved. A normal action is one which falls well within The concept of the normal is properly a variant of the concept of the good. It

the segment it has chosen. In so far as a civilization is well integrated and consisaberrant traits. any other culture those elaborations will include more and more extreme and its initial impulse toward a particular type of action, and from the point of view of tent within itself, it will tend to carry farther and farther, according to its nature, Each culture is a more or less elaborate working-out of the potentialities of

of that culture, is for that culture normal. Those individuals to whom it is congetheir traits would call down upon them in a society that was differently organized that culture, and are not visited with the social contempt or disapproval which nial either congenitally, or as the result of childhood sets, are accorded prestige in Each of these traits, in proportion as it reinforces the chosen behavior patterns

has betrayed his culture; that is, he is abnormal ceed only by doing violence to his whole personality. If he does not succeed, he to play an important role in a family with many hereditary privileges, he can sucin the major patterned responses that his culture requires of him. If he is born find some unstandardized way of achieving satisfactions in his society, but not humiliation in his own experience, who is genial and loving, may, of course, for. The person who does not find it easy to humiliate a neighbor, nor to see will be the person upon whom fall all the difficulties of the culturally unprovided west Coast the person who finds it difficult to read life in terms of an insult contest work and likes to be helpful, is their neurotic and regarded as silly. On the North ter how valued their personality traits may be in a contrasted civilization the selected type of human behavior in that community are the deviants On the other hand, those individuals whose characteristics are not con-The Dobuan who is not easily susceptible to fear of treachery, who

majority of mankind quite readily take any shape that is presented to them.... itself upon the fundamental sanities, but of the universal fact that, happily, the in any culture is not a function of the sure instinct with which the society has built ior patterns as acquisitiveness. The small proportion of the number of the deviants trait, such as delusions of reference, than to the normality of such accepted behaving the vast malleable majority to the "normality" of what we consider an aberrant alized, will remain few in number, and there seems no more difficulty in mouldthat sets the gathering of possessions as the chief human objective, they will amass society that institutionalizes homosexuality, they will be homosexual. In a society are plastic to the moulding force of the society into which they are born. In a sociproperty. The deviants, whatever the type of behavior the culture has institutionety that values trance, as in India, they will have supernormal experience. In a group are shaped to the fashion of that culture. In other words, most individuals in different societies is not universal. The vast majority of individuals in any is observed. But the proportion in which behavior types stand to one another human behavior that is found wherever a sufficiently large series of individuals very likely of universal recurrence. That is, there is an ascertainable range of from the available material it would appear that these temperament types are every society. The matter has never been made the subject of investigation, but institutionalized in the culture to which they belong. From all that we know of and of these sets as running sometimes counter to the types of behavior which are contrasting cultures it seems clear that differences of temperament occur in I have spoken of individuals as having sets toward certain types of behavior,

## READING COMPREHENSION QUESTIONS

- 1. In the fourth paragraph of her essay, Benedict claims that we learn from illustrate and defend her point about the functioning of abnormals in society? society." What does she mean by "abnormals"? Which examples does she use to anthropology that "there are well-described cultures in which...abnormals function at ease and with honor, and apparently without danger or difficulty to
- What is the main conclusion that Benedict draws from her illustrations?

- Some philosophers have the final paragraph of h ethics on facts about ou Benedict respond to thi
- thing (including behavi According to Benedict
- One point that Benedic introduction to review ethical relativism follow different codes of ethic
- 6. If ethical relativism wer (or any other type of b

well as the content of i foundation of moralityauthor of many articles a a direct concern for other in divine command theor critical of attempts to pro View from Nowhere someone did that to you offers an argument basei Thomas Nagel is profess consistency) that most, i morality that is posed t interests of others. His d morality and, in partica demand not to hurt oth

deviants, no matvilization.

nery, who enjoys

On the Northfan insult contest
urally unprovided
hbor, nor to see
may, of course,
society, but not
m. If he is born
tges, he can sucnot succeed, he

navior which are inable range of ment occur in nat we know of pes of behavior, vestigation, but ividuals in any to one another s of individuals ment types are sperience, In a born. In a socinost individuals of the deviants der an aberrant ulty in mouldhas institutionthey will amass tal. In a society sciety has built cepted behavto them... happily, the

form

S

abnormals abnormals or difficulty to oes she use to als in society?

Ç Some philosophers have held that it is possible to base a universal code of the final paragraph of her article.) Benedict respond to this idea? (The key to her response is to be found in ethics on facts about our shared common human nature. How would

not congenial to

- According to Benedict what is the nature of the good-what makes something (including behavior) good or bad?
- One point that Benedict stresses is that different cultures do in fact have introduction to review this theory.) ethical relativism follow? (Readers may want to glance back at the chapter different codes of ethical behavior. Suppose she is right about this. Does
- Ó If ethical relativism were true, what would it imply about the ethics of killing (or any other type of behavior?)

36

## Right and Wrong

THOMAS NAGEL

author of many articles and books, including Moral Questions (1979) and The offers an argument based on the familiar question "How would you like it if critical of attempts to provide a religious foundation for morality of the sort featured well as the content of morality—what moral requirements there are. Nagel is foundation of morality—the basis of the distinction between right and wrong-View from Nowhere (1986). In our reading, Nagel is concerned both with the Thomas Nagel is professor of philosophy and law at New York University and consistency) that most, if not all, people do have reason to conform to the moral someone did that to you?" that can be used to argue (based on an appeal to a direct concern for other people, including, of course, not hurting them. He also in divine command theories. Rather, he claims that the basis of morality rests with interests of others. His discussion of this question leads him to consider the threat to morality and, in particular, the question of how much one ought to consider the demand not to hurt others. Nagel then turns to questions about the content of morality that is posed by ethical relativism and by psychological egoism.